## The future financing needs of European small businesses:

Estimating the current and future financing needs
of European SMEs using ECB SAFE data

## Outline

## Introduction (Project Scope)

- Estimation of current and future SMEs' financing needs


## Dataset

- Descriptive Statistics of ECB SAFE data and the result of fi-compass (2019) as a benchmark


## Four Econometric Models

- Heterogeneous Choice Model (HCM)
- Heteroskedastic Ordered Probit Model (Hetop)
- Ordered Probit Model with Sample Selection (Heckoprobit)
- Generalized Interval Regression Model (Gintreg)

Main Results

- Estimated Firms' Current Loan
- Estimated Firms' Future Loan

Conclusion

## Introduction

Project Scope

## Introduction

## Two Challenges - Estimating current and future SMEs' financing needs (ToR)

- Review fi-compass model and result
- Review the ECB SAFE survey data and fi-compass* model
- Set the result of fi-compass model as the benchmark
- Apply different approaches
- Four econometric models to estimate the SMEs' average loan size
- Select the best model by comparison
- Result of the best approach
- Current and future financing needs of different types of SMEs (breakdowns; country, firm size and age, main activity)
- Comparison to the benchmark (fi-compass model)
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## Main Concept of Financing Needs

- Credit Rationing: A situation in which lenders are unwilling to advance additional funds to borrowers at the prevailing market interest rate
- Systematic result of 'asymmetric information' (Stiglitz and Weiss, 1981)
$\rightarrow$ Inefficient market distribution
- Financing gap: Excess demand of specific financial product such as loans, or a general lack of financial access (fi-compass, 2018)


Financing needs: Focus on the specific source, 'bank loan'. as the debt financing of SMEs

## Empirical approaches to estimate SMEs' financing gaps and needs

Financing gap is more prevalent in developing countries based on the OECD Survey of 30 countries (2006)
'Debt to sales ratio' of companies and multiplied the sales by the number of companies to estimate the financial needs of MSMEs in 10 benchmark countries

Quantify the debt financing gap of European countries: Number of

SMEs $\times$ Financially viable SMEs (\%) × Unsuccessful SMEs (\%) × Average SME loan size $(2014,2019)$
$\Rightarrow$ Our Main Scope: Estimate average loan size of SMEs by various characteristics

## Dataset

## ECB SAFE Data

Fi-Compass Study (2019)

## ECB SAFE Data: well-established \& reliable

Enterprise level data from ECB SAFE (Survey on the Access to Finance of Enterprises)

- Waves $1(2009 H 1)$ to $22(2019 H 2)$ with 108,058 enterprises and 202,271 responses
- Focus on EU 27 + UK countries
- EC's definition of SMEs:
- Number of employees < 250 (and)
- Turnover $\leq € 50 \mathrm{~m}$ (or)
- Balance Sheet $\leq € 43 \mathrm{~m}$

Enterprise Characteristics used for analysis

- Country of location
- Size of enterprises
- Main activity of enterprises
- Age of enterprises
- Size of loan - current (Q8A) and future (Q21)


## Descriptive Statistics

Countrywise Number of Enterprises in EU27 + UK


Size


Main Activity


Age


## Outcome Variables from SAFE Data

## Firms' Current Loan

Q8A: What is the size of the last bank loan that your enterprise obtained or renegotiated in the past six months or attempted to obtain in the past six months?

Firms' Future Loan

Q21: If you need external financing to realise your growth ambitions over the next two to three years, what amount of financing would you aim to obtain?

- up to $€ 25,000$
- more than $€ 25,000$ and up to $€ 100,000$
- more than $€ 100,000$ and up to $€ 250,000$
- more than $€ 250,000$ and up to $€ 1$ million
- over $€ 1$ million
- Don't know/ Not Applicable


## Outcome Variables from SAFE Data

Responses to Q8A


Responses to Q21

up to $€ 25,000$more than $€ 25,000$ and up to $€ 100,000$more than $€ 100,000$ and up to $€ 250,000$ more than $€ 250,000$ and up to $€ 1$ million over $€ 1$ million $\square$ Don't know/ Not Applicable

## fi-compass (2019) as a Benchmark

- fi-compass (2019) estimates the financing gap of SMEs using a quantitative and qualitative approach
" Quantitative Analysis: Uses the responses to Q8A to estimate the size of the average loan by country. The lowest bound of each ordered response category of Q8A is taken as the size of the average loan
- Qualitative Analysis: Literature review \& interviews with regional loan officers
- We use the fi-compass (2019) estimates as our benchmark for comparison
- Lack of study which used ECB SAFE data
- Sanity check
$\Rightarrow$ Analytical strategy : Using ECB SAFE data, finding applicable econometric models, then comparing to fi-compass and select the best model


## Econometric Models

Heterogeneous Choice Model (HCM)<br>Heteroskedastic Ordered Probit Model (Hetop)<br>Ordered Probit Model with Sample Selection (Heckoprobit)<br>Generalized Interval Regression Model (Gintreg)

## Heterogeneous Choice Model (HCM)

Observed collapsed version: Loan size categories from Q8A
Unobserved latent variable: Continuous loan size values

## Heterogeneous Choice Model <br> (HCM)

- Corrects for heteroskedasticity
- Fitted using oglm Stata program
- Estimate (i) linear predictions and
(ii) standard deviation of residuals from the HCM model
$Y_{i}^{*}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} X_{i 1}+\cdots+\alpha_{K} X_{i K}+\sigma \epsilon_{i} \quad E\left(X \mid K_{i}<Y^{*} \leq K_{i+1}\right)=\mu+\frac{\varphi(\alpha)-\varphi(\beta)}{\Phi(\beta)-\Phi(\alpha)}$


## Truncated Normal Distribution

- Substitute estimates into property of a two-sided truncated normal distribution, to evaluate the expected continuous loan size values


## Heteroskedastic Ordered Probit Model (Hetop)

## Heteroskedastic Ordered Probit Model (Hetop)

- HETOP model recovers mean values based on ordered category responses
- Using combination of characteristics of enterprises, groups are formed
- For each group, we find the frequency of each ordered category response
- This table is the input for the Hetop model, using the distribution of responses, the model predicts the values of the mean


## Assumptions:

- The distribution within each group across ordered response categories is not assumed to be normal, however, it is assumed that a transformation of this distribution is normal

Conditions:

- Model is fragile to missing or incomplete data across ordered categories


## Ordered Probit Model with Sample Selection (Heckoprobit)

## Two Step Selection Model

To model the sample selection process;
I. Selection Equation decides whether or not to participate

- whether firms receive loans or not (Q7B)
I. Outcome Equation if so, determines how much is given
- the amount of bank loan received (Q8A)


## Model Equations

(i) Selection Equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{i}^{*}=\alpha^{\prime} w_{i}+u_{i} \\
& z_{i}=1\left[z_{i}^{*}>0\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Outcome Equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{i}^{*}=\beta^{\prime} x_{i}+\varepsilon_{i} \\
& \left(\varepsilon_{i}, u_{i}\right) \sim N\left[(0,0),\left(1, \rho \sigma_{\varepsilon}, 1\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Observation: For observations with $z_{i}=1$,
$E\left[y_{i}^{*} \mid x_{i}, w_{i}, z_{i}=1\right]$

$$
=\beta^{\prime} x_{i}+\left(\rho \sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)\left[\frac{\phi\left(\alpha^{\prime} w_{i}\right)}{\Phi\left(\alpha^{\prime} w_{i}\right)}\right]
$$

## Generalized Interval Regression Model (Gintreg)

I. Starting point - Interval Regression; Modelling outcomes from interval censoring

- Assumption: Normal distribution of error terms
- Limitation: Inconsistent estimators (if errors are not normally distributed)
II. Extension - Generalized Interval Regression; Non-normal distribution of error
- Flexible distribution with a wide range of skewness and kurtosis
- MLE is used for maximizing over the unknown parameters
- Application: Gintreg with Skewed Generalized T (SGT) distribution which means univariate 5 -parameter distribution known for its extreme flexibility


## Main Results

Estimated Firms' Current Loan

## Choice of Econometric Model

| HCM | Hetop | Heckoprobit <br> $(\mathrm{n} 21,076)$ | Gintreg (SGT) <br> $(\mathrm{n} 25,552)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{n} 19,358)$ | $(\mathrm{n} 21,967)$ |  |  |

## Loan size category (€)

$0-25,000$
$25,001-100,000$
$100,001-250,000$
$250,001-1,000,000$
$>1,000,000$

| 11,426 | $85,887^{\wedge}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 52,337 | $138,954^{\wedge}$ |
| 157,462 | 237,814 |
| 470,210 | 361,380 |
| $1,873,638$ | $500,843^{\wedge}$ |
|  |  |


| 16,803 | $47,384^{\wedge}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 38,988 | 85,899 |
| 113,197 | 167,261 |
| 267,388 | 322,155 |
| $1,046,707$ | $727,758^{\wedge}$ |
|  |  |

## Measure of Model Fit

Akaike Information Criteria (AIC)

| 53,144 |
| :--- |
| 55,077 |


| $-*$ |
| :--- | | 54,412 |
| :--- |
| 57,678 |

Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC)
55,077
56,246
$\wedge$ Estimated representative loan size does not fall within the bounds of the loan size categories

* Unable to estimate Akaike and Bayesian information criteria for Hetop
- In terms of loan size category and model goodness of fit, Hetop and Gintreg are not suitable for estimating current loan size.


## Current Loan Size by Country

| (EUR) | fi-compass | Econometric models |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HCM | Hetop | Heckoprobit | Gintreg (SGT) |
| Austria | 243,834 | 592,139 | 375,687 | 267,205 | 261,633 |
| Belgium | 172,648 | 366,595 | 194,924 | 126,407 | 146,837 |
| Bulgaria | 128,289 | 396,691 | 194,197 | 179,745 | 109,163 |
| Croatia | 218,168 | 370,414 | 196,632 | 166,228 | 102,559 |
| Cyprus | 266,777 | 665,019 | 335,880 | 394,297 | 282,416 |
| Czech Republic | 218,684 | 407,326 | 221,322 | 190,636 | 125,598 |
| Denmark | 293,703 | 733,624 | 754,993 | 405,859 | 412,833 |
| Estonia | 328,390 | 459,006 | 173,398 | 306,723 | 164,553 |
| Finland | 253,027 | 626,581 | 457,848 | 294,665 | 299,306 |
| France | 144,045 | 292,867 | 143,760 | 99,054 | 103,112 |
| Germany | 214,464 | 557,144 | 291,271 | 195,302 | 224,339 |
| Greece | 165,015 | 424,407 | 271,870 | 191,947 | 121,705 |
| Hungary | 165,193 | 410,883 | 226,942 | 190,112 | 109,086 |
| Ireland | 156,877 | 536,784 | 362,301 | 219,440 | 201,892 |
| Italy | 139,665 | 316,418 | 197,443 | 130,353 | 133,346 |
| Latvia | 162,046 | 398,368 | 152,523 | 236,088 | 110,341 |
| Lithuania | 167,189 | 415,932 | 199,546 | 237,422 | 129,569 |
| Luxembourg | 311,635 | 520,581 | 289,600 | 318,451 | 259,451 |
| Malta | 240,332 | 426,238 | 251,007 | 285,014 | 197,324 |
| Netherlands | 284,535 | 807,877 | 640,099 | 411,092 | 405,999 |
| Poland | 159,843 | 463,739 | 241,461 | 187,012 | 131,547 |
| Portugal | 129,431 | 286,242 | 170,603 | 118,148 | 104,500 |
| Romania | 230,379 | 477,248 | 205,058 | 211,425 | 119,006 |
| Slovakia | 179,293 | 405,891 | 272,819 | 184,289 | 118,739 |
| Slovenia | 185,189 | 481,594 | 234,149 | 238,097 | 144,064 |
| Spain | 129,198 | 261,566 | 141,580 | 97,332 | 95,413 |
| Sweden | 191,142 | 631,377 | 422,674 | 325,103 | 298,399 |
| United Kingdom | 202,834 | 642,737 | 336,554 | 269,838 | 179,455 |

Econometric models marked by yellow are the two estimates that are relatively close to the amount indicated in ficompass (2019)

- $\operatorname{HCM}(0 / 28)$, Hetop (15/28), Heckoprobit (22 / 28),
Gintreg (19 / 28)


## Current Loan Size by Country



- Heckoprobit (in yellow) shows the closest figures to fi-compass (in blue). This study uses Heckoprobit to estimate the current debt financing gaps.


## Current Debt Financing Gap

## Current debt financing gap/GDP vs ESAF loan subindex



- The EIF's SME Access to Finance (ESAF) index is a composite indicator that monitors SMEs' external financing markets (loans, equity, credit and leasing, and macro factors) in the 28 countries.
- In cross-analysis with the ESAF loan subindex, fi-compass (in blue line) and Heckoprobit (in yellow line) show a similar downward straight line.


## Current Loan Size by Firm Characteristics



- As for analysis by firm characteristics, fi-compass (2019) and Heckoprobit show similar results by firm size (top left graph) and main activity (bottom left graph)
- But, present a different result by firm age (bottom right graph).




## Main Results

Estimated Firms' Future Loan

## Choice of Econometric Model



AIC: Akaike Information Criteria, BIC: Bayesian Information Criteria

2 Ordered Probit Model with Sample Selection (Heckoprobit) is undesirable

- Statistically insignificant model (rho value of -0.029)
- Determining the demand of future loan is not a two-step process

3 HCM is suitable for estimating firms' future loan size

## Future Loan Size by Country



- Average future loan size is larger than average current loan size of respective countries


## Future Loan Size by Firm Characteristics

Average Future Loan Size in Hundred Thousands (100,000 €)


## Conclusion

Summary

Implications/ Limitations/ Suggestions

## Summary

- Estimate the current and future financing needs of European SMEs based on the SAFE survey dataset
- Use the response of Q8A and Q21 of SAFE survey
- Set up the result of fi-compass (2019) as a benchmark and compared four different econometric models
- Best model to estimate current average loan is Heckoprobit
- Heckoprobit shows the closest figures to the benchmark
- 28 countries-total mean $=155,055$
- Best model to estimate future average loan is HCM
- The estimated future loan size is larger than the current loan size (fi-compass)
- $400,328>169,750$ (current)


## Implications and limitations

- Implications
- Diagnose and evaluate the financing gaps on various characteristics
- Support effective implementation of EIF's programme
- Limitations
- Theoretical limitations of econometric models
- Future demand can be overestimated
- Suggestion: Revision of Q21 in SAFE survey
- More accurate data can be obtained if a future demand period is specified
- For example, the size of external financing 'over the next six months' to realise growth ambitions over the next two to three years
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## Appendix 2: Variables included in Four Models

|  |  |  | Heckoprobit |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HCM | Hetop | Selection | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Outcome } \\ \text { Equation }\end{array}$ | Gintreg |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equation |  |  |  |  |  |$]$

## Appendix 3: Current Debt Financing Gap

Number of SMEs $\times$ Financially viable SMEs (\%) $\times$ Unsuccessful SMEs (\%) $\times$ Average loan size

| (mEUR) | fi-compass | Heckoprobit |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Austria | 2,559 | 2,804 |
| Belgium | 4,265 | 3,123 |
| Bulgaria | 2,054 | 2,878 |
| Croatia | 2,440 | 1,859 |
| Cyprus | 1,278 | 1,888 |
| Czechia | 7,179 | 6,259 |
| Denmark | 2,753 | 3,804 |
| Estonia | 1,429 | 1,335 |
| Finland | 1,845 | 2,149 |
| France | 21,103 | 14,512 |
| Germany | 20,331 | 18,514 |
| Greece | 14,254 | 16,580 |
| Hungary | 2,764 | 3,180 |
| Ireland | 2,824 | 3,951 |
| Italy | 24,865 | 23,207 |
| Latvia | 760 | 1,108 |
| Lithuania | 1,224 | 1,738 |
| Luxembourg | 106 | 108 |
| Malta | 524 | 622 |
| Netherlands | 11,962 | 17,283 |
| Poland | 4,232 | 4,951 |
| Portugal | 7,542 | 6,885 |
| Romania | 2,481 | 2,277 |
| Slovakia | 2,109 | 2,167 |
| Slovenia | 1,137 | 1,461 |
| Spain | 14,037 | 10,575 |
| Sweden | 5,494 | 9,345 |
| United Kingdom | 13,694 | 18,217 |




[^0]:    * EIF's Research \& Market Analysis team developed the following methodology to compute SME financing gaps for (i) debt financing and (ii) equity financing. Fi-compass is a platform for advisory services on financial instruments under the European Structural and Investment Funds.

